

[20th August 1925]

The maximum loan amount in the case of land mortgage banks.

* 235 Q.—Mr. G. RAMESWARA RAO: Will the hon. the Minister for Development be pleased to state—

(a) whether the Government are aware that in some of the rural co-operative societies Rs. 2,000 is the present limit of individual member's borrowing capacity;

(b) whether this aspect was considered in fixing the maximum loan amount as Rs. 1,000 in the case of the proposed land mortgage banks; and

(c) what the special feature of the new land mortgage bank is to be?

A.—(a) Yes.

(b) The limit has been fixed at Rs. 1,000 for the present as the object of the scheme is to relieve small debtors.

(c) The hon. Member is referred to G.O. No. 484, Development, dated 1st April 1925, which has been placed on Editors' Table.

Veterinary.

Veterinary hospitals in North Arcot.

* 236 Q.—Mr. T. ADINARAYANA CHETTIAR: Will the hon. the Minister for Development be pleased to state—

(a) how many veterinary hospitals are there in the North Arcot district, where they are located and when they were opened;

(b) how many cases are treated daily, on an average, in each hospital;

(c) what is the annual cost of maintenance to Government for each hospital;

(d) how long the surgeons have been in charge of each of the hospitals in the same place;

(e) whether it is a fact that the surgeons have not sufficient work in their hospitals; and

(f) whether it is a fact that many of these surgeons interfere in local politics?

A.—(a) There are Veterinary Hospitals at Vellore and Tiruvannamalai and a Veterinary dispensary at Ranipet. The hospitals at Vellore and Tiruvannamalai were opened on the 7th September 1907 and on the 14th November 1908 respectively. The dispensary at Ranipet was opened on 7th November 1914.

(b) The average daily attendance in each of these institutions during 1924-25 was—

40.30 in Vellore,
17.95 in Tiruvannamalai,
17.94 in Ranipet.

(c) Cost of maintenance of the institutions during 1924-25 was as follows:—

	RS.	A.	P.
Vellore	2,784	15	1
Tiruvannamalai	1,807	15	10
Ranipet	2,077	14	3

20th August 1925]

- (d) The present incumbents have been in charge of these institutions from the following dates:—
 Veterinary hospital, Vellore, from 3rd January 1924.
 Veterinary hospital, Tiruvannamalai, from 12th September 1918.
 Veterinary dispensary, Ranipet, from 9th April 1925.
- (e) No.
- (f) The Government have no reason to suppose that any of the assistants have interfered in politics.

UNSTARRED QUESTIONS.

Civil Justice.

Memorials from process-servers, etc., of the District Munsif's Court at Bellary.

237 Q.—MR. K. ABDUL HYE SAHIB: Will the hon. the Law Member be pleased to state whether the Government have received any memorials from the process-servers and amins of the District Munsif's Court, Bellary, for increasing their scale of salary; if so, what action the Government propose to take?

A.—No such memorials have been received.

Disposal of suits in Bellary Munsif's Court.

238 Q.—MR. K. ABDUL HYE SAHIB: Will the hon. the Law Member be pleased to state—

(a) how many civil suits are pending disposal in the Bellary Munsif's Court; what relief has been given to the munsif after the amalgamation of the Adoni taluk with Bellary; and

(b) whether the Government propose to appoint additional munsifs for disposing of old suits pending for a long time?

A.—(a) The Government have no information.

(b) The Government are not considering any such proposal. The matter is one for consideration in the first instance by the hon. the Judges of the High Court.

Collectors' Conference.

Results of the Collectors' Conference at Ootacamund regarding Revenue Department.

239 Q.—MR. J. A. SALDANHA: With respect to the Press communiqué, dated 10th June 1925, as regards the important subjects discussed at the Collectors' Conference held at Ootacamund, will the hon. the Law Member, the hon. the Member for Revenue, the hon. the Home Member and the hon. the Finance Member be pleased to state the conclusions Government have arrived at on the several points so far as they concern the Revenue Department?

A.—The hon. Member's question misconceives the purpose and object of the Conference. The Conference was not held to enable conclusions to be arrived at on specific points but to provide an opportunity for the interchange of information and ideas between district officers from different parts of the Presidency and the officials at headquarters. It is not possible to point to any order subsequently issued and to say that that order was due to the discussions at the